

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,350	06/26/2001	Carrel W. Ewing	MLF-600-13	3551
24197 7590 02/13/2007 KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP 121 SW SALMON STREET			EXAMINER	
			CHANKONG, DOHM	
SUITE 1600 PORTLAND, C	OR 97204		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			2152	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 02/13/2007 PA		ER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	09/892,350	EWING ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dohm Chankong	2152			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
,,	action is non-final.				
·=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
,,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
· _					
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	atent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

- This action is in response to Applicant's request for continued examination, filed 1.17.2007. Claim 14 is added. Claims 1-14 are presented for further examination.
- 2> This is a non-final rejection.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1.17.2007 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant has added claim 14. Applicant has not presented any new arguments as to claims 1-13. Because no new arguments or amendments with respect to claims 1-13 are presented for consideration, the rejections set forth in the final rejection filed 8.17.2006, are maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2152

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Only those claims that have been added by Applicant are formally addressed in this action. Since no new arguments or amendments have been presented for claims 1-13, they are not formally addressed in this action. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action, see final rejection, filed 8.17.2006 and non-final rejection, filed 3.15.2006.
- 6> Claims I and 3-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nierlich et al, U.S Patent No. 6.519.509 ["Nierlich"] in view of Karanam et al, U.S Patent No. 6.266.713 ["Karanam"].
- As to claim 14, Nierlich does not expressly disclose that the user-configuration file comprises at least one user-assigned name for at least one of the plurality of power-control points.
- 8> Karanam discloses a user-configuration file comprising at least one user-assigned name for at least one of the plurality of power-control output ports [column 6 «lines 48-64» | column 14 «lines 48-67» where: Karanam discloses utilizing mnemonics to identify data points]. Karanam discloses that utilizing names to identify data points, such as output ports, because it eases their configuration by making it easier for a user to identify the points.

Application/Control Number: 09/892,350

Art Unit: 2152

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the use of mnemonics into Nierlich's configuration file to ease the configuration of Nierlich's power-control output ports by making it easier for users to identify the ports.

- 9> Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nierlich and Karanam, in further view of Potega, U.S Patent No. 6.459.175.
- Claims 1, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Potega, in view of Nierlich, in further view of Karanam.
- As to claim 14, Potega does not expressly disclose that the user-configuration file comprises at least one user-assigned name for at least one of the plurality of power-control points.
- Karanam discloses a user-configuration file comprising at least one user-assigned name for at least one of the plurality of power-control output ports [column 6 «lines 48-64» | column 14 «lines 48-67» where: Karanam discloses utilizing mnemonics to identify data points]. Karanam discloses that utilizing names to identify data points, such as output ports, because it eases their configuration by making it easier for a user to identify the points.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the use of mnemonics into Potega and Nierlich's configuration file to ease the configuration of Potega's power-control output ports by making it easier for users to identify the ports.

Art Unit: 2152

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dohm Chankong whose telephone number is 571.272.3942.

The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday [7:30 AM to 4:30 PM].

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit can be reached on 571.272.3913. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DC

BUNJOB JAROENCHONWANIT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER